arXiv:math-ph/0103024v1 21 Mar 2001

A Study of Two-/One-form Superfields

Jeong-Hyuck Park*

School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study
207-43 Cheongryangri-dong, Dongdaemun-gu
Seoul 130-012, Korea

Abstract

We study two supersymmetric toy models of a k-form superfield, $k = 2, 1$ separately. By "solving" Jacobi identities, we show that each model is completely solvable at off-shell level, possesses a severely constrained kinematics, and gives a rigid representation of the supersymmetry algebra. This study of the toy models is motivated by our reanalysis on supersymmetry algebras in gauge theories where we discuss internal symmetry generators carrying spacetime/spinor indices.

PACS: 11.30.Pb, 11.15.-q, 12.60.Jv

---

[*] E-mail address: jhp@kias.re.kr

I. Introduction

Coleman-Mandula theorem [1] has been a cornerstone to construct possible supersymmetry algebras in various dimensions. The theorem states that any group of bosonic symmetries of the **s**-matrix in relativistic field theory is the direct product of of the Poincaré group with an internal symmetry group. Consequently supersymmetry algebra was conceived as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra of which the bosonic part needed to satisfy the theorem [2–4]. In particular the internal symmetry generators do not carry any spacetime index. One of the assumptions necessary to prove the theorem was that the theory describes massive point-like particles. For massless theories it is well known that the Poincaré group can be extended to the conformal group, while in the presence of a p-dimensional extended object, or p-brane, it was pointed out by Azcárraga *et al.* that the supersymmetry algebra can admit a p-form central charge [5, 6]. This discovery initiated some studies of the possible central extensions of supersymmetry algebra by adding charges with spacetime indices to the ordinary supersymmetry algebra [7–9].

It has been well known that in gauge theories the commutator of two supersymmetry transformations contains a gauge transformation as well as a translation [10–12]. For example, regarding four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Maxwell theory, there exist essentially two known superfield formulations: One is to introduce a real scalar superfield or vector superfield and impose the Wess-Zumino gauge condition. The other is to start with a fermionic chiral superfield, W_α, and impose a certain reality constraint, $D^\alpha W_\alpha = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}$. In the former approach, the gauge field appears explicitly in the superfield expansion and the supersymmetry algebra closes on a gauge transformation and a translation, though the original supersymmetry algebra in the superfield formalism closes only on a translation. This is the price for adopting the Wess-Zumino gauge. On the other hand in the latter approach, only the field strength appears in the superfield expansion and the existence of the gauge field follows implicitly as the exterior derivative of the field strength vanishes, so that the supersymmetry algebra closes on a translation alone[1].

The main contents of the present paper are twofold: We first revisit supersymmetry algebras in two gauge theories, 6 D $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet containing $B_{\mu\nu}$ and 4 D $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric Maxwell theory containing A_{μ}. Analysing the commutators of super charges in each theory, we conceive the notion of *gauge charges* which carry spacetime/spinor indices and generate local gauge transformations. Since both theories are massless and describe free point-like particles, neither Coleman-Mandula theorem is applicable nor solitonic extended objects are present. Hence this is another type of origin for internal symmetry charges which carry spacetime/spinor indices.

When there are infinitely many generators in a supersymmetry algebra, the superfield formalism is not practical anymore, since the expansion of any superfield does not terminate at a finite order resulting in infinitely many component fields. Motivated by the observation above, in the rest of the paper, we analyse two off-shell supersymmetric toy models of a k-form superfield in $2k+2$ dimensions, $k=2,1$, separately. We let the relevant supersymmetry algebra consist of supercharges and translations only. By "solving" Jacobi identities, we show that each model is completely solvable at off-shell level, possesses a severely constrained kinematics in the sense that all the component fields are at most quadratic in spacetime coordinates, x, and that it is possible to determine how the coefficients in the expansion of each component field in x transform to another under supersymmetry transformations, i.e. these coefficients form a "rigid" representation of the supersymmetry algebra[2].

---

[1] The latter approach is demonstrated in section II-A. for the analysis of 6 D tensor multiplet theory.

The organization of the present paper is as follows. Section II. contains the reanalysis on $6\,\mathrm{D}$ $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet. We discuss the gauge charges there. In section III., we study the $6\,\mathrm{D}$ $(N,0)$ toy model of a two-form superfield and solve the model completely. Section IV. deals with a parallel analysis on $4\,\mathrm{D}$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric Maxwell theory and a toy model of a one-form superfield.

II. $6\,\mathbf{D}$ $(1,0)$ Tensor Multiplet

II-A. Off-shell Superfield Formalism

Here we reconstruct the off-shell $6\,\mathrm{D}$ $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet within a superfield formalism in an algebraic way. We also exhibit an explicit expression for the corresponding superfield.

The standard six-dimensional $(N,0)$ supersymmetry algebra is given by

$$\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\} = 2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}P_A\,, \tag{II.1}$$

---

[2] Cf. Ref. [13], where "soft gauge algebra" is defined to have field-dependent and hence spacetime-dependent structure "constants".

where $\mathcal{E}^{ij}$ is a $2N \times 2N$ anti-symmetric matrix governing the symplectic structure

$$\mathcal{E}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{II.2}$$

with the inverse, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{ij}$, $\mathcal{E}^{ij}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} = \delta^i{}_k$, and super charges, $Q^i_\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq 2N, 1 \leq \alpha \leq 4$, satisfy the pseudo-Majorana condition

$$\bar{Q}_i = Q^{i\dagger}\gamma^0 = (Q^j)^t\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{ji} \,. \tag{II.3}$$

The corresponding superspace has coordinates, $z^M = (x^A, \theta^{i\alpha})$, where Grassmann variable, θ^i, satisfies $\bar{\theta}_i = \theta^{i\dagger}\tilde{\gamma}^0 = (\theta^j)^t\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{ji}$ so that $\bar{\theta}_i Q^i = \bar{Q}_i\theta^i$ is real.

Following Howe *et al.* [14], to obtain $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet, we consider a real scalar superfield, $\Phi(z)$, subject to $D^{(i}_\alpha D^{j)}_\beta \Phi(z) = 0$ or equivalently[3][4]

$$\{Q^{(i}_\alpha, [Q^{j)}_\beta, \phi]\} = 0 \,, \tag{II.4}$$

where $\phi(x) = \Phi(x, 0)$.

If we define

$$\psi^i_\alpha \equiv i[Q^i_\alpha, \phi] \,, \tag{II.5}$$

then ψ^i_α satisfies the pseudo-Majorana condition along with Q^i_α

$$\bar{\psi}_i = \psi^{i\dagger}\gamma^0 = (\psi^j)^t\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{ji} \,. \tag{II.6}$$

---

[3] ( ), [ ] mean symmetrizing, anti-symmetrizing indices with "strength one".

[4] We note that $D^{(i}_\alpha D^{j)}_\beta = D^{(i}_{[\alpha} D^{j)}_{\beta]}$.

From eq.(II.4) and using the fact that $\gamma^A, \gamma^{[B}\tilde{\gamma}^C\gamma^{D]}$ form a basis of 4×4 matrices, one can derive an expression for $\{Q^i_\alpha, \psi^j_\beta\}$. After imposing a Jacobi identity

$$\{Q^i_\alpha,[Q^j_\beta,\phi]\}+\{Q^j_\beta,[Q^i_\alpha,\phi]\}=[\{Q^i_\alpha,Q^j_\beta\},\phi]=2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}[P_A,\phi]\,, \tag{II.7}$$

we get[5]

$$\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\beta\}=\mathcal{E}^{ij}(\gamma^A\partial_A\phi+\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]}H_{ABC})_{\alpha\beta}\,, \tag{II.8}$$

where, due to eq.(A8), H_{ABC} is self-dual

$$H_{ABC}=\tfrac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{DEF}H_{DEF}\,, \tag{II.9}$$

and satisfies from eq.(A4)

$$\mathcal{E}^{ij}H_{ABC}=-\tfrac{1}{12}(\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]})^{\alpha\beta}\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\beta\}\,. \tag{II.10}$$

Further, with eq.(A5) pseudo-Majorana conditions (II.3,II.6) imply that H_{ABC} is real.

Using Jacobi identity one can write

$$\begin{aligned}
-12\mathcal{E}^{ij}[Q^k_\alpha,H_{ABC}] &= (\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]})^{\gamma\delta}[Q^k_\alpha,\{Q^i_\gamma,\psi^j_\delta\}]\\
&= (\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]})^{\gamma\delta}\Big(2\mathcal{E}^{ki}\gamma^D_{\alpha\gamma}[P_D,\psi^j_\delta]-[Q^i_\gamma,\{Q^k_\alpha,\psi^j_\delta\}]\Big)\\
&= \mathcal{E}^{kj}\Big(i(\gamma^D\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]}\partial_D\psi^i)_\alpha-\tfrac{1}{4}(\gamma^{[D}\tilde{\gamma}^E\gamma^{F]}\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]})_\alpha{}^\beta[Q^i_\beta,H_{DEF}]\Big)\\
&\quad -2i\mathcal{E}^{ki}(\gamma^D\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]}\partial_D\psi^j)_\alpha\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{II.11}$$

Choosing $j=k$ gives

$$[Q^k_\alpha,H_{ABC}]=-i\tfrac{1}{6}(\gamma^D\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]}\partial_D\psi^k)_\alpha\,, \tag{II.12}$$

---

[5] P_A acts on fields as a partial derivative in a standard way, $[P_A,\phi]=-i\partial_A\phi$.

which is in fact equivalent to eq.(II.11) itself.

With eqs.(II.8,II.12,A11a,A12) Jacobi identity gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_D H_{ABC} &= i\tfrac{1}{16}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{ij}\tilde{\gamma}_D^{\alpha\beta}\left(\{Q^i_\alpha,[Q^j_\beta,H_{ABC}]\}+\{Q^j_\beta,[Q^i_\alpha,H_{ABC}]\}\right)\\ &= 2\partial_{[A}H_{BC]D}-\eta_{D[A}\partial^E H_{BC]E}+\tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{EFG}\partial_E H_{FGD}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{II.13}$$

With the self-duality of H_{ABC}, using eq.(A12), this becomes

$$\partial_D H_{ABC} = 3\partial_{[A}H_{BC]D} - 3\eta_{D[A}\partial^E H_{BC]E}\,, \tag{II.14}$$

and hence

$$\partial^C H_{ABC} = 0\,, \tag{II.15}$$

$$\partial_{[A}H_{BCD]} = 0\,. \tag{II.16}$$

The latter implies that H_{ABC} is a field strength of a certain two-form tensor at least locally

$$H_{ABC} = \partial_{[A}B_{BC]}\,. \tag{II.17}$$

Hence the existence of a two-form gauge field follows only implicitly as the exterior derivative of the three-form tensor vanishes, and ϕ, ψ^i, H_{ABC} form a representation of the standard six-dimensional $(1,0)$ supersymmetry algebra (II.1) consisting of Q, P only. It is worth to note that eq.(II.15) follows from eq.(II.17) and the self-duality of H_{ABC}.

Constraints on ϕ, ψ^i can be obtained in a similar fashion. From eqs.(II.5,II.8,II.12) we get

$$[Q^k_\gamma,\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\beta\}] = -i\mathcal{E}^{ij}(\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^k_\gamma + \gamma^A_{\beta\gamma}\partial_A\psi^k_\alpha - \gamma^A_{\gamma\alpha}\partial_A\psi^k_\beta)\,, \tag{II.18}$$

so that with Jacobi identity

$$[\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^k_\gamma\}, \psi^j_\beta] = -i\mathcal{E}^{ik}(\gamma^A_{\beta\gamma}\partial_A\psi^j_\alpha + \gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^j_\gamma - \gamma^A_{\gamma\alpha}\partial_A\psi^j_\beta)\,. \tag{II.19}$$

From the supersymmetry algebra this must be equal to $-2i\mathcal{E}^{ik}\gamma^A_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_A\psi^j_\beta$, and hence

$$\gamma^A_{[\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^j_{\gamma]} = 0\,. \tag{II.20}$$

Equivalently from eq.(A11a)

$$\tilde{\gamma}^A\partial_A\psi^j = 0\,. \tag{II.21}$$

Furthermore this implies $\tilde{\gamma}^{A\alpha\beta}\partial_A\{Q^i_\alpha, \psi^j_\beta\} = 0$, and hence with eq.(II.8)

$$\Box\,\phi = 0\,. \tag{II.22}$$

Now we can write superfield

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(z) &= e^{i\bar{\theta}_i Q^i}\phi(x)e^{-i\bar{\theta}_i Q^i}\\ &= \phi + \bar{\theta}_i\psi^i + i\tfrac{1}{8}\bar{\theta}_i\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]}\theta^i H_{ABC} + i\tfrac{1}{3}\bar{\theta}_i\gamma^A\theta^j\bar{\theta}_j\partial_A\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{12}\bar{\theta}_i\gamma^A\theta^j\bar{\theta}_j\gamma^B\theta^i\partial_A\partial_B\phi\\ &\qquad + \text{higher order terms containing derivatives}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{II.23}$$

where the higher order terms which terminate at eighth order can be obtained from eqs.(II.5,II.8,II.12).

II-B. Charges with Spacetime Indices : On-shell

The supersymmetry transformation rule for the two-form tensor field was first written by Bergshoeff *et al.* at on-shell level [11, 15] as

$$\delta B_{AB} = \bar{\varepsilon}_i\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]}\psi^i\,. \tag{II.24}$$

From their results, by identifying

$$\delta\,\mathrm{Field} = i[\bar{\varepsilon}_i Q^i, \mathrm{Field}]\,, \tag{II.25}$$

one may introduce supersymmetry charges, $Q^i_\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq 2$, such that

$$[Q^i_\alpha, B_{AB}] \;=\; -i(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]}\psi^i)_\alpha\,, \tag{II.26a}$$

$$\{Q^i_\alpha, \psi^j_\beta\} \;=\; \mathcal{E}^{ij}(\gamma^A\partial_A\phi + \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]}H_{ABC})_{\alpha\beta}\,, \tag{II.26b}$$

$$[Q^i_\alpha, \phi] \;=\; -i\psi^i_\alpha\,. \tag{II.26c}$$

This is compatible with eqs.(II.5,II.8,II.12). We also note that eq.(II.26a) is consistent with the pseudo-Majorana conditions (II.3,II.6), which can be shown using eq.(A5).

From eq.(II.26a) with Jacobi identities

$$[\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\}, \mathrm{Boson}] = \{Q^i_\alpha, [Q^j_\beta, \mathrm{Boson}]\} + \{Q^j_\beta, [Q^i_\alpha, \mathrm{Boson}]\}\,, \tag{II.27a}$$

$$[\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\}, \mathrm{Fermion}] = [Q^i_\alpha, \{Q^j_\beta, \mathrm{Fermion}\}] + [Q^j_\beta, \{Q^i_\alpha, \mathrm{Fermion}\}]\,, \tag{II.27b}$$

one can calculate the anti-commutator of super charges. Using eq.(A11a) we get

$$\begin{aligned}[\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\}, B_{AB}] \;&= -2i\mathcal{E}^{ij}\partial_C B_{AB}\gamma^C_{\alpha\beta} + 3i\mathcal{E}^{ij}H_{-ABC}\gamma^C_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad - 2i\mathcal{E}^{ij}(\partial_A B_{BC} - \partial_B B_{AC} + \eta_{CA}\partial_B\phi - \eta_{CB}\partial_A\phi)\gamma^C_{\alpha\beta}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{II.28a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\}, \psi^k_\gamma] \;&= i\mathcal{E}^{jk}(\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^i_\gamma - \gamma^A_{\beta\gamma}\partial_A\psi^i_\alpha - \gamma^A_{\gamma\alpha}\partial_A\psi^i_\beta) - (i \leftrightarrow j) \\ &= -2i\mathcal{E}^{ij}\partial_A\psi^k_\gamma\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta} + i\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^{ij}(\gamma_A\tilde{\gamma}^B\partial_B\psi^k)_\gamma\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{II.28b}$$

$$[\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\}, \phi] \;= -2i\mathcal{E}^{ij}\partial_A\phi\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\,, \tag{II.28c}$$

where

$$H_{\pm ABC} \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}(H_{ABC} \pm \tfrac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{DEF}H_{DEF})\,. \tag{II.29}$$

The equality on the second line of eq.(II.28b) holds since $N = 1$, i.e. $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$.

Now we introduce a vector valued Hermitian charge, Z_A, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&[Z_C, B_{AB}] = -i(\partial_A B_{BC} - \partial_B B_{AC} + \eta_{CA}\partial_B\phi - \eta_{CB}\partial_A\phi)\,, \\ &[Z_C, \psi^i_\alpha] = 0\,, \qquad\qquad [Z_C, \phi] = 0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{II.30}$$

Direct calculation gives

$$[Z_A, H_{BCD}] = 0\,, \tag{II.31}$$

and hence Z_A is a vector valued charge which generates a local gauge transformation. We also note that Z_A is effectively null when it acts on $\psi^i_\alpha, \phi, H_{ABC}$.

With the following constraints or “equations of motion”[6]

$$H_{-ABC} = 0 \quad : \text{self-duality}\,, \tag{II.32a}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}^A\partial_A\psi^i = 0\,, \tag{II.32b}$$

---

[6] Contrary to the known, we do not need any constraint on the scalar field, ϕ.

eq.(II.28a) realizes the following novel modified $(1,0)$ supersymmetry algebra in six-dimensions

$$\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\} = 2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}(P_A + Z_A)\,. \tag{II.33}$$

We note from eqs.(II.26a,A11j)

$$\begin{aligned}[][Q^i_\alpha, H_{ABC}] &= -i(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_B\partial_{C]}\psi^i)_\alpha \\ &= -i\tfrac{1}{6}(\gamma^D\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]}\partial_D\psi^i + \gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_B\gamma_{C]}\tilde{\gamma}^D\partial_D\psi^i)_\alpha\,.\end{aligned} \tag{II.34}$$

This shows from eq.(A8) that the self-duality condition (II.9) is also satisfied for the expression of $[Q^i_\alpha, H_{ABC}]$ with the equation of motion (II.32b)

$$[Q^i_\alpha, H_{-ABC}] = -i\tfrac{1}{6}(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_B\gamma_{C]}\tilde{\gamma}^D\partial_D\psi^i)_\alpha = 0\,. \tag{II.35}$$

Similar analysis based on Jacobi identities can give other commutators/anti-commutators of Q^i_α, P_A, Z_A. First we find

$$[P_A, Q^i_\alpha] = 0\,, \qquad [P_A, P_B] = 0\,, \qquad [P_A, Z_B] = 0\,. \tag{II.36}$$

If we denote gauge charges $\mathcal{T}_{(n)}$ which are n times multi-commutators of Q^i_α, Z_A containing at least one Z_A, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n} &= [Z_{A_1}, [Z_{A_2}, \cdots, [Z_{A_{n-1}}, Z_{A_n}]\cdots] \equiv Z_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}\,, \\ \mathcal{T}^{ij}_{\alpha\beta A} &= \{Q^i_\alpha, [Q^j_\beta, Z_A]\}\,, \qquad\qquad \text{etc.}\end{aligned} \tag{II.37}$$

then one can show, by induction on n, that gauge charges, $\mathcal{T}_{(n)}$, act only on the two-form tensor, B_{AB},

$$[\mathcal{T}_{(n)}, \psi^i_\alpha\} = 0\,, \qquad [\mathcal{T}_{(n)}, \phi] = 0\,, \qquad [\mathcal{T}_{(n)}, H_{ABC}] = 0\,, \tag{II.38}$$

and $\mathcal{T}_{(n)}$ commutes with P_A

$$[\mathcal{T}_{(n)}, P_A] = 0\,. \tag{II.39}$$

In particular, direct calculation gives

$$[[Z_C, Q^i_\alpha], B_{AB}] = (\gamma_C(\tilde{\gamma}_A\partial_B - \tilde{\gamma}_B\partial_A)\psi^i)_\alpha \,, \tag{II.40a}$$

$$[Z_{CD}, B_{AB}] = \partial_A(\partial_D B_{BC} - \partial_C B_{BD} + \eta_{DB}\partial_C\phi - \eta_{CB}\partial_D\phi) - (A \leftrightarrow B) \,, \tag{II.40b}$$

$$[Z_{C_1C_2\cdots C_n}, B_{AB}] = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2}(-i\partial_{C_j})\Big(\partial_A(\partial_{C_n}B_{BC_{n-1}} - \partial_{C_{n-1}}B_{BC_n}) - (A \leftrightarrow B)\Big) \,. \tag{II.40c}$$

Since $[\mathcal{T}_{(n)}, Q^i_\alpha\} \neq 0$, gauge charges are not central.

We note that there exist infinitely many gauge charges, $\mathcal{T}_{(n)}$, and this has to do with the fact that there exist infinite degrees of freedom for local gauge transformations. It is worth to note that Jacobi identities may reduce the number of independent charges such as

$$\mathcal{T}^{ij}_{\alpha\beta A} + \mathcal{T}^{ji}_{\beta\,\alpha A} = 2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^B_{\alpha\beta}Z_{BA} \,. \tag{II.41}$$

III. 6 D Toy Model of Two-form Superfield : Off-shell

In this section we investigate the consequences of setting $Z_A = 0$, by considering a 6 D off-shell toy model of a two-form superfield. We assume the supersymmetry transformation of the lowest component field of the superfield is identical to that of the two-form gauge field in 6 D tensor multiplet (II.26a), i.e.

$$[Q^i_\alpha, B_{AB}] = -i(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]}\psi^i)_\alpha \,, \tag{III.1}$$

and require our toy model to be subject to the standard $(N,0)$ supersymmetry algebra consisting of Q^i_α, P_A alone, i.e. eq.(II.1)

$$\{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\} = 2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}P_A\,, \tag{III.2}$$

where $1 \leq i,j \leq 2N$ and N is an arbitrary natural number. We note that eq.(III.1) is of the most general form without any derivative and gravitinos.

Provided with these two equations (III.1,III.2) only, without any "equation of motion", using some properties of gamma matrices in six-dimensions we are going to show that the model is completely solvable at off-shell level, possesses a severely constrained kinematics as

- B_{AB}, ψ^i_α alone form a super multiplet without introducing any scalar field.
- The field strength of the two-form field is automatically self-dual.
- B_{AB} is at most linear in spacetime coordinates, x, and it has the following explicit form

  $$B_{AB} = \mathcal{B}_A x_B - \mathcal{B}_B x_A + \mathcal{B}_{ABC}x^C + \mathcal{B}_{AB}\,, \tag{III.3}$$

  where

  $$\mathcal{B}_{AB} = \mathcal{B}_{[AB]}\,, \qquad\qquad \mathcal{B}_{ABC} = \tfrac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{DEF}\mathcal{B}_{DEF}\,. \tag{III.4}$$

- The spinor field, ψ^i_α, is a spacetime independent constant field, i.e. there remain only zero modes and with $\mathcal{B}_\alpha{}^\beta \equiv \mathcal{B}_{AB}(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]})_\alpha{}^\beta$ it can be written as

  $$\psi^i_\alpha = -i\tfrac{1}{30}[Q^i_\beta, \mathcal{B}_\alpha{}^\beta]\,. \tag{III.5}$$

- The supersymmetry transformation rule for ψ^i_α is

$$\{Q^i_\alpha, \psi^j_\beta\} = \mathcal{E}^{ij}(\tfrac{1}{5}\partial_A B^A{}_B\gamma^B + \tfrac{1}{12}H_{ABC}\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]})_{\alpha\beta}\,. \qquad \text{(III.6)}$$

- The supersymmetry algebra (III.2) is represented by

$$[Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_A] = 0\,, \qquad\qquad [Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_{ABC}] = 0\,, \qquad \text{(III.7a)}$$

$$[Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_{AB}] = -i(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]}\psi^i)_\alpha\,, \qquad \text{(III.7b)}$$

$$\{Q^i_\alpha, \psi^j_\beta\} = \mathcal{E}^{ij}(-\mathcal{B}^A\gamma_A + \tfrac{1}{12}\mathcal{B}_{ABC}\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]})_{\alpha\beta}\,, \qquad \text{(III.7c)}$$

$$[P_C, \mathcal{B}_A] = 0\,, \qquad\qquad [P_C, \mathcal{B}_{ABC}] = 0\,, \qquad \text{(III.8a)}$$

$$[P_C, \mathcal{B}_{AB}] = -i(\mathcal{B}_A\eta_{BC} - \mathcal{B}_B\eta_{CA} + \mathcal{B}_{ABC})\,, \qquad \text{(III.8b)}$$

$$[P_C, \psi^i] = 0\,. \qquad \text{(III.8c)}$$

Proof

With $B_\alpha{}^\beta \equiv B_{AB}(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]})_\alpha{}^\beta$, eq.(III.1) is equivalent from eq.(A10) to

$$[Q^i_\alpha, B_\beta{}^\gamma] = 8i\delta_\alpha{}^\gamma\psi^i_\beta - 2i\delta_\beta{}^\gamma\psi^i_\alpha\,. \qquad \text{(III.9)}$$

Jacobi identity which is crucial in our calculation is with eq.(III.2)

$$\{Q^i_\alpha,[Q^j_\beta,B_\gamma{}^\delta]\}+\{Q^j_\beta,[Q^i_\alpha,B_\gamma{}^\delta]\}=2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}[P_A,B_\gamma{}^\delta]\,, \tag{III.10}$$

and hence from eq.(III.9)

$$\delta_\gamma{}^\delta\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\beta\}+\delta_\gamma{}^\delta\{Q^j_\beta,\psi^i_\alpha\}-4\delta_\beta{}^\delta\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\gamma\}-4\delta_\alpha{}^\delta\{Q^j_\beta,\psi^i_\gamma\}=\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A B_\gamma{}^\delta\,. \tag{III.11}$$

Contracting β, δ indices gives

$$15\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\gamma\}+4\{Q^j_\alpha,\psi^i_\gamma\}-\{Q^j_\gamma,\psi^i_\alpha\}=\mathcal{E}^{ij}\partial_A B_{BC}(\gamma^{[B}\tilde{\gamma}^{C]}\gamma^A)_{\gamma\alpha}\,. \tag{III.12}$$

Symmetrizing i, j indices of this gives

$$19\{Q^{(i}_\alpha,\psi^{j)}_\gamma\}=\{Q^{(i}_\gamma,\psi^{j)}_\alpha\}\,, \tag{III.13}$$

so that

$$\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\beta\}+\{Q^j_\alpha,\psi^i_\beta\}=0\,. \tag{III.14}$$

Hence eq.(III.12) becomes

$$11\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\gamma\}+\{Q^i_\gamma,\psi^j_\alpha\}=\mathcal{E}^{ij}\partial_A B_{BC}(\gamma^{[B}\tilde{\gamma}^{C]}\gamma^A)_{\gamma\alpha}\,. \tag{III.15}$$

Using eq.(A11c) we symmetrize α, γ indices to get

$$\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\gamma\}+\{Q^i_\gamma,\psi^j_\alpha\}=\tfrac{1}{6}\mathcal{E}^{ij}H_{ABC}(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]})_{\alpha\gamma}\,, \tag{III.16}$$

On the other hand, from eq.(A11b), anti-symmetrizing α, γ indices in eq.(III.15) gives

$$\{Q^i_\alpha,\psi^j_\gamma\}-\{Q^i_\gamma,\psi^j_\alpha\}=\tfrac{2}{5}\mathcal{E}^{ij}\partial_A B^A{}_B\gamma^B_{\alpha\gamma}\,. \tag{III.17}$$

Thus, combining eq.(III.16) and eq.(III.17) gives the supersymmetry transformation rule for the spinor field (III.6). Substituting this into the Jacobi identity (III.11) gives

$$\tfrac{8}{5}\delta_{[\alpha}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{B}_{\beta]\gamma}\partial_A B^A{}_B + \tfrac{2}{3}\delta_{[\alpha}{}^{\delta}(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B}\gamma^{C]})_{\beta]\gamma}H_{ABC} + \tfrac{2}{5}\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{B}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A B^A{}_B = \gamma^{A}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A B_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\,. \tag{III.18}$$

Contracting this with $\tilde{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}_{A}$ gives from eq.(A2)

$$\partial_A B_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = (\tfrac{2}{5}\partial_B B^{BC}\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{C]} + \tfrac{1}{6}H_{BCD}\gamma^{[B}\tilde{\gamma}^{C}\gamma^{D]}\tilde{\gamma}_{A})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\,. \tag{III.19}$$

Since this vanishes when we contract $\gamma\,,\delta$ indices, from eqs.(A3,A4), contracting eq.(III.19) with $(\gamma^{[B}\tilde{\gamma}^{C]})_{\delta}{}^{\gamma}$ simplifies eq.(III.19) to the following equivalent formula

$$\partial_A B_{BC} = \tfrac{1}{5}(\eta_{AB}\partial_D B^D{}_C - \eta_{AC}\partial_D B^D{}_B) + H_{+ABC}\,. \tag{III.20}$$

Now we are going to solve eq.(III.20). An immediate consequence of eq.(III.20) is that H_{ABC} is self-dual (II.9). With this self-duality condition, eq.(III.20) becomes

$$10\partial_A B_{BC} - 5\partial_B B_{CA} - 5\partial_C B_{AB} = 3\eta_{AB}\partial_D B^D{}_C - 3\eta_{AC}\partial_D B^D{}_B\,. \tag{III.21}$$

Acting ∂^B on this gives

$$7\partial_A\partial_B B^B{}_C - 5\Box B_{CA} + 5\partial_C\partial_B B^B{}_A = 0\,. \tag{III.22}$$

Symmetrizing A, C indices gives

$$\partial_A\partial_B B^B{}_C + \partial_C\partial_B B^B{}_A = 0\,, \tag{III.23}$$

and hence from eq.(III.22)

$$\partial_A \partial_B B^B{}_C = -\tfrac{5}{2} \Box B_{AC} \,, \tag{III.24a}$$

$$\Box \partial_B B^B{}_C = 0 \,. \tag{III.24b}$$

With eq.(III.24a), acting ∂_D on eq.(III.21) and then symmetrizing A, B indices gives

$$2\partial_A \partial_D B_{BC} + 2\partial_B \partial_D B_{AC} = \Box(2\eta_{AB} B_{CD} - \eta_{AC} B_{BD} - \eta_{BC} B_{AD}) \,. \tag{III.25}$$

Similarly

$$2\partial_D \partial_A B_{BC} + 2\partial_B \partial_A B_{DC} = \Box(2\eta_{DB} B_{CA} - \eta_{DC} B_{BA} - \eta_{BC} B_{DA}) \,, \tag{III.26a}$$

$$2\partial_D \partial_B B_{AC} + 2\partial_A \partial_B B_{DC} = \Box(2\eta_{DA} B_{CB} - \eta_{DC} B_{AB} - \eta_{AC} B_{DB}) \,. \tag{III.26b}$$

Now we add eq.(III.26a) to eq.(III.25) and then subtract eq.(III.26b) to get

$$\partial_A \partial_D B_{BC} = \tfrac{1}{2} \Box(\eta_{AB} B_{CD} - \eta_{AC} B_{BD} + \eta_{DB} B_{CA} - \eta_{DC} B_{BA} + \eta_{AD} B_{BC}) \,. \tag{III.27}$$

With eq.(III.24b) this implies

$$\partial_A \Box B_{BC} = \tfrac{3}{2} \Box H_{ABC} \,, \tag{III.28}$$

and hence

$$\Box H_{ABC} = \partial_A \Box B_{BC} = 0 \,. \tag{III.29}$$

Thus from eq.(III.27)

$$\partial_A \partial_B \partial_C B_{DE} = 0 \,. \tag{III.30}$$

Now if we write

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\Box B_{AB} \equiv C_{AB} \quad : \text{ constant}\,, \tag{III.31}$$

then from eqs.(III.27,III.30) the quadratic term of B_{AB} is of the form

$$B^{(2)}_{AB} = \tfrac{1}{2}C_{AB}x^2 - C_{AC}x^C x_B + C_{BC}x^C x_A\,, \tag{III.32}$$

so that imposing the self-duality condition (II.9) gives

$$C_{[AB}x_{C]} = \tfrac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{DEF}C_{[DE}x_{F]}\,. \tag{III.33}$$

Therefore

$$C_{AB} = 0\,, \tag{III.34}$$

and B_{AB} is at most linear in x. From eq.(III.20) B_{AB} is of the final form (III.3) with coefficients satisfying eq.(III.4). Due to the explicit form of B_{AB} we get eqs.(III.8a,III.8b) and eq.(III.6) becomes eq.(III.7c).

Now we perform a similar analysis on ψ^i. A Jacobi identity for this field is

$$[Q^i_\alpha, \{Q^j_\beta, \psi^k_\gamma\}] + [Q^j_\beta, \{Q^i_\alpha, \psi^k_\gamma\}] = -2i\mathcal{E}^{ij}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^k_\gamma\,. \tag{III.35}$$

Using eqs.(A11f,A11g) we get from eqs.(III.1,III.6)

$$[Q^i_\alpha, \{Q^j_\beta, \psi^k_\gamma\}] = i\mathcal{E}^{jk}(\tfrac{1}{5}\gamma^A_{\beta\gamma}\partial_A\psi^i_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{15}\gamma^A_{\gamma\alpha}\partial_A\psi^i_\beta + \tfrac{11}{15}\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^i_\gamma)\,. \tag{III.36}$$

With this expression, in the case of $i = j$, eq.(III.35) becomes

$$\gamma^A_{\beta\gamma}\partial_A\psi^i_\alpha = -\gamma^A_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_A\psi^i_\beta\,, \tag{III.37}$$

and hence $\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^i_\gamma$ is totally anti-symmetric for the spinorial indices

$$\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv \gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}\partial_A\psi^i_\gamma = \Gamma^i_{[\alpha\beta\gamma]}\,. \tag{III.38}$$

Now the Jacobi identity (III.35) becomes

$$\mathcal{E}^{ik}\Gamma^j_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - \mathcal{E}^{jk}\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 2\mathcal{E}^{ij}\Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\,. \tag{III.39}$$

If we consider the case, $i = k$

$$\mathcal{E}^{ij}\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0\,, \tag{III.40}$$

and hence

$$\partial_A\psi^i_\alpha = 0\,, \tag{III.41}$$

ψ^i_α is a constant field satisfying eq.(III.8c).

Now let's go back to the two-form tensor. From eqs.(III.1,III.3) we get

$$[Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_A]x_B - [Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_B]x_A + [Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_{ABC}]x^C + [Q^i_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_{AB}] = -i(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]}\psi^i)_\alpha\,. \tag{III.42}$$

Since ψ^i_α is constant we get eqs.(III.7a,III.7b), and from eq.(III.9) we get eq.(III.5) as well.

Finally one can check that eqs.(III.7a,III.8a) are consistent with the supersymmetry algebra (III.2).

Q.E.D.

IV. 4 D Super Maxwell Theory and Toy Model

In this section, similarly to section II. and III., we analyse the supersymmetry algebra in 4 D $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric Maxwell theory and study a toy model of a one-form superfield. We obtain similar results.

IV-A. Charges with Spacetime Indices : On-shell

As an analogy to eq.(II.26a), from the known supersymmetry transformation rules for the component fields in $\mathcal{N}=1$ on-shell supersymmetric Maxwell multiplet [10, 16], we can write[7],

$$[Q_\alpha, A_\mu] = (\sigma_\mu \bar{\psi})_\alpha \,, \qquad [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, A_\mu] = -(\psi \sigma_\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}} \,, \tag{IV.1a}$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \psi^\beta\} = i\tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_\alpha{}^\beta F_{\mu\nu} \,, \qquad \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = i\tfrac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}^{[\mu}\sigma^{\nu]})^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{IV.1b}$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 0 \,, \qquad \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \psi^\alpha\} = 0 \,. \tag{IV.1c}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$.

From these, using Jacobi identities and eqs.(B3,B4,B5,B6), direct calculation gives

$$\begin{gathered} [\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}, A_\mu] = 2i F_{\mu\nu} \sigma^\nu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \,, \\ [\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, A_\mu] = 0 \,, \qquad [\{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\}, A_\mu] = 0 \,, \end{gathered} \tag{IV.2a}$$

---

[7] An auxiliary scalar field was set to be zero for simplicity.

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\{Q_\alpha,\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}\},\psi^\beta] = -2i\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_\mu\psi^\beta + i(\partial_\mu\psi\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\,,\\
&[\{Q_\alpha,Q_\beta\},\psi^\gamma] = i\left(\delta_\alpha{}^\gamma(\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar\psi)_\beta + \delta_\beta{}^\gamma(\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar\psi)_\alpha\right)\,,\\
&[\{\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha},\bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}\},\psi^\alpha] = 0\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{IV.2b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\{Q_\alpha,\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}\},\bar\psi^{\dot\beta}] = -2i\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_\mu\bar\psi^{\dot\beta} + i(\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar\psi)_\alpha\delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}\,,\\
&[\{Q_\alpha,Q_\beta\},\bar\psi^{\dot\alpha}] = 0\,,\\
&[\{\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha},\bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}\},\bar\psi^{\dot\gamma}] = i\left(\delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma}(\partial_\mu\psi\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\beta} + \delta_{\dot\beta}{}^{\dot\gamma}(\partial_\mu\psi\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha}\right)\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{IV.2c}$$

Now it is obvious that with constraints on ψ, $\bar\psi$ or "equations of motion"

$$\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar\psi = 0\,,\qquad\qquad \partial_\mu\psi\sigma^\mu = 0\,,\tag{IV.3}$$

$4\,\mathrm{D}$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ on-shell supersymmetric Maxwell theory admits the following modified supersymmetry algebra[8]

$$\begin{gathered}
\{Q_\alpha,\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}\} = 2\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot\alpha}(P_\mu+Z_\mu)\,,\\
\{Q_\alpha,Q_\beta\} = 0\,,\qquad\qquad \{\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha},\bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}\} = 0\,,\\
[P_\mu,Q_\alpha] = 0\,,\qquad\qquad [P_\mu,\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}] = 0\,,\\
[P_\mu,Z_\nu] = 0\,,
\end{gathered}\tag{IV.4}$$

---

[8] While this work was being completed, a supersymmetry algebra identical to eq.(IV.4) but of different origin was discussed by Gorsky and Shifman [17]. It is relevant to the soliton solutions with the axial geometry - the saturated strings.

where $Z_\mu = (Z_\mu)^\dagger$ generates a local gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} &[Z_\mu, A_\nu] = i\partial_\nu A_\mu\,, && [Z_\mu, F_{\nu\rho}] = 0\,, \\ &[Z_\mu, \psi^\alpha] = 0\,, && [Z_\mu, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}] = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{IV.5}$$

Multi-commutators of $Z_\mu, Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ generate infinitely many gauge charges which act on A_μ only and annihilate $\psi^\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, F_{\mu\nu}$ as in eq.(IV.5). In particular we have

$$[[Z_\mu, Q_\alpha], A_\nu] = -i(\sigma_\mu \partial_\nu \bar{\psi})_\alpha\,, \tag{IV.6a}$$

$$[[Z_\mu, Z_\nu], A_\lambda] = \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{IV.6b}$$

$$[[Z_{\mu_1}, [Z_{\mu_2}, \cdots, [Z_{\mu_{n-1}}, Z_{\mu_n}] \cdots], A_\nu] = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (-i\partial_{\mu_j}) \partial_\nu F_{\mu_{n-1}\mu_n}\,. \tag{IV.6c}$$

IV-B. 4 D Toy Model of One-form Superfield : Off-shell

Here we study a 4 D toy model of a one-form superfield. We assume the supersymmetry transformation of the lowest order component field is identical to that of the one-form gauge field in supersymmetric Maxwell theory (IV.1a), i.e.

$$[Q_\alpha, A_\mu] = (\sigma_\mu \bar{\psi})_\alpha\,, \qquad [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, A_\mu] = -(\psi \sigma_\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\,, \tag{IV.7}$$

and require the governing supersymmetry algebra to be the standard one consisting of $Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, P_\mu$ only

$$\begin{aligned} &\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P_\mu\,, \\ &\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 0\,, \qquad \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{IV.8}$$

We note that eq.(IV.7) is of the most general form without any derivative and gravitinos.

Provided with these two equations (IV.7,IV.8) alone, without any “equation of motion”, using some properties of gamma matrices in four-dimensions we are going to show that the model is completely solvable at off-shell level, possesses a severely constrained kinematics as

- The super multiplet consists of $A_\mu, \psi^\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \varphi$, where φ is a real scalar field.
- A_μ satisfies the conformal Killing equation

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu + \partial_\nu A_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial{\cdot}A)\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{IV.9}$$

so that A_μ is at most quadratic in x and it has the following explicit form

$$A_\mu = a_\mu + \lambda x_\mu + w_\mu{}^\nu x_\nu + 2x{\cdot}b\,x_\mu - x^2 b_\mu\,, \tag{IV.10}$$

where all the coefficients, $a_\mu, \lambda, w_{\mu\nu}, b_\mu$ are Hermitian operators.

- The supersymmetry transformation rules for the spinor fields, $\psi^\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{Q_\alpha, \psi^\beta\} = i\tfrac{1}{4}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_\alpha{}^\beta F_{\mu\nu} + (\varphi + i\tfrac{1}{4}\partial{\cdot}A)\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\,,\\
&\{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = i\tfrac{1}{4}(\tilde{\sigma}^{[\mu}\sigma^{\nu]})^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\mu\nu} + (\varphi - i\tfrac{1}{4}\partial{\cdot}A)\delta^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,,\\
&\{Q_\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 0\,, \qquad\qquad \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \psi^\alpha\} = 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{IV.11}$$

- $\psi^\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are at most linear in x. The explicit forms are

$$\psi^\alpha = \xi^\alpha + (\bar{\rho}\tilde{\mathrm{x}})^\alpha\,, \qquad\qquad \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} + (\tilde{\mathrm{x}}\rho)^{\dot{\alpha}}\,, \tag{IV.12}$$

where $\tilde{\mathrm{x}} = x_\mu\tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ and the lower spinorial indices of $\rho_\alpha, \bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ are to be understood.

- The real scalar field, φ, is a spacetime independent constant field. Its supersymmetry transformation rules are

$$[Q_\alpha, \varphi] = -i\tfrac{3}{4}(\sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi})_\alpha\,, \qquad [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \varphi] = -i\tfrac{3}{4}(\partial_\mu \psi \sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\,. \tag{IV.13}$$

- The supersymmetry algebra (IV.8) is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} &[Q_\alpha, a_\mu] = (\sigma_\mu \bar{\xi})_\alpha\,, && [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, a_\mu] = -(\xi \sigma_\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\,,\\ &[Q_\alpha, \lambda] = \rho_\alpha\,, && [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \lambda] = -\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,,\\ &[Q_\alpha, w_{\mu\nu}] = (\sigma_{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]}\rho)_\alpha\,, && [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, w_{\mu\nu}] = (\bar{\rho}\tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu}\sigma_{\nu]})_{\dot{\alpha}}\,,\\ &[Q_\alpha, b_\mu] = 0\,, && [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, b_\mu] = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.14a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &[P_\mu, a_\nu] = i(w_{\mu\nu} - \lambda \eta_{\mu\nu})\,,\\ &[P_\mu, \lambda] = -2ib_\mu\,,\\ &[P_\lambda, w_{\mu\nu}] = 2i(b_\mu \eta_{\nu\lambda} - b_\nu \eta_{\mu\lambda})\,,\\ &[P_\mu, b_\nu] = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.14b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{Q_\alpha, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 0\,, && \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \xi^\alpha\} = 0\,,\\ &\{Q_\alpha, \rho_\beta\} = 0\,, && \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\rho}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.14c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{Q_\alpha, \xi^\beta\} = -i\tfrac{1}{2} w_{\mu\nu} (\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_\alpha{}^\beta + (\varphi + i\lambda)\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\,,\\ &\{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -i\tfrac{1}{2} w_{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\sigma}^{[\mu}\sigma^{\nu]})^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} + (\varphi - i\lambda)\delta^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.14d}$$

where

$$\varphi = \tfrac{1}{4}(\{Q_\alpha, \xi^\alpha\} + \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\}) = \varphi^\dagger\,, \tag{IV.14e}$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar\rho_{\dot\alpha}\} = 2i(b{\cdot}\sigma)_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\,, \qquad\qquad \{\bar Q_{\dot\alpha}, \rho_\alpha\} = -2i(b{\cdot}\sigma)_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\,, \tag{IV.14f}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &[P_\mu, \xi^\alpha] = -i(\bar\rho\tilde\sigma_\mu)^\alpha\,, &\qquad& [P_\mu, \bar\xi^{\dot\alpha}] = -i(\tilde\sigma_\mu\rho)^{\dot\alpha}\,,\\ &[P_\mu, \rho_\alpha] = 0\,, && [P_\mu, \bar\rho_{\dot\alpha}] = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.14g}$$

$$\begin{gathered} [Q_\alpha, \varphi] = -3i\rho_\alpha\,, \qquad\qquad [\bar Q_{\dot\alpha}, \varphi] = -3i\bar\rho_{\dot\alpha}\,,\\ [P_\mu, \varphi] = 0\,. \end{gathered} \tag{IV.14h}$$

Proof

A Jacobi identity analogous to eq.(III.10) gives using eq.(B3)

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu = i\tfrac{1}{4}\left((\tilde\sigma_\mu\sigma_\nu)^{\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}\{\bar Q_{\dot\alpha}, \bar\psi^{\dot\beta}\} - (\sigma_\nu\tilde\sigma_\mu)_\beta{}^\alpha\{Q_\alpha, \psi^\beta\}\right)\,, \tag{IV.15}$$

while Jacobi identity for $\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 0$ gives with $A^{\dot\alpha\alpha} \equiv A_\mu\tilde\sigma^{\mu\dot\alpha\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{Q_\alpha, [Q_\beta, A^{\dot\gamma\gamma}]\} + \{Q_\beta, [Q_\alpha, A^{\dot\gamma\gamma}]\}\\ &= 2\delta_\alpha{}^\gamma\{Q_\beta, \bar\psi^{\dot\gamma}\} + 2\delta_\beta{}^\gamma\{Q_\alpha, \bar\psi^{\dot\gamma}\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.16}$$

and hence

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar\psi^{\dot\alpha}\} = 0\,, \qquad\qquad \{\bar Q_{\dot\alpha}, \psi^\alpha\} = 0\,. \tag{IV.17}$$

Eq.(IV.15) is equivalent to

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu + \partial_\nu A_\mu = i\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\{\bar Q_{\dot\alpha}, \bar\psi^{\dot\alpha}\} - \{Q_\alpha, \psi^\alpha\}\right)\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{IV.18a}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = i\tfrac{1}{2}\left((\tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu}\sigma_{\nu]})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}},\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}\} + (\sigma_{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]})_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}\{Q_{\alpha},\psi^{\beta}\}\right)\,. \tag{IV.18b}$$

Eq.(IV.18a) gives the conformal Killing equation (IV.9) with the general solution (IV.10) in four-dimensions [18] and eq.(IV.18b) gives from eq.(B5)

$$\{Q_{\alpha},\psi^{\beta}\} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\{Q_{\gamma},\psi^{\gamma}\} = i\tfrac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\,, \tag{IV.19}$$

so that from eqs.(IV.8,IV.17)

$$\begin{aligned} i\tfrac{1}{4}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}[\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}},F_{\mu\nu}] &= -i\tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(\partial_{\mu}\psi\sigma_{\nu})_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &= [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}},\{Q_{\alpha},\psi^{\beta}\}] - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}[\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}},\{Q_{\gamma},\psi^{\gamma}\}] \\ &= -2i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}\psi^{\beta} + i\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(\partial_{\mu}\psi\sigma^{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{IV.20}$$

Using eqs.(IV.7,B1,B4,B5) one can show that eq.(IV.20) is equivalent to the following simple formula

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi^{\alpha} = \tfrac{1}{4}(\partial_{\nu}\psi\sigma^{\nu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu})^{\alpha}\,. \tag{IV.21}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi &= (\tfrac{1}{4})^2\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\lambda}\psi\sigma^{\lambda}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu}\sigma^{\rho}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu} \\ &= (\tfrac{1}{4})^2\partial_{\rho}\partial_{\lambda}\psi\sigma^{\lambda}(2\delta_{\mu}{}^{\rho} - \tilde{\sigma}^{\rho}\sigma_{\mu})\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi - \tfrac{1}{16}\Box\psi\sigma_{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{IV.22}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Box\psi^{\alpha} &= 0\,, \\ \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\psi^{\alpha} &= 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{IV.23}$$

Thus $\psi^{\alpha},\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are at most linear in spacetime coordinates, x, and from eq.(IV.21) we can write their general forms as in eq.(IV.12).

Now from eqs.(IV.7,IV.10,IV.17) we get eq.(IV.14a,IV.14c), and from $[P_\mu, A_\nu] = -i\partial_\mu A_\nu$, $[P_\mu, \psi] = -i\partial_\mu \psi$ we get eqs.(IV.14b,IV.14g). Substituting eqs.(IV.10,IV.12) into eq.(IV.19) gives

$$\{Q_\alpha, \xi^\beta\} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\{Q_\gamma, \xi^\gamma\} = -i\tfrac{1}{2}w_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_\alpha{}^\beta\,, \tag{IV.24a}$$

$$\{Q_\alpha, (\rho\tilde{\sigma}_\mu)^\beta\} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\{Q_\gamma, (\rho\tilde{\sigma}_\mu)^\gamma\} = i(b{\cdot}\sigma\tilde{\sigma}_\mu - \sigma_\mu b{\cdot}\tilde{\sigma})_\alpha{}^\beta\,. \tag{IV.24b}$$

Contracting the latter with $\sigma^\mu_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}$ gives eq.(IV.14f) which is however equivalent to eq.(IV.24b). Eq.(IV.18a) gives

$$\{Q_\alpha, \xi^\alpha\} - \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 4i\lambda\,. \tag{IV.25}$$

Now we define φ as in eq.(IV.14e). Then from eqs.(IV.24a,IV.25) we get eq.(IV.14d). The supersymmetry transformation rules for φ (IV.14h) follow from eqs.(IV.14a,IV.14c,IV.14d,IV.14e,IV.14g), since

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_\alpha, \varphi] &= \tfrac{1}{2}[Q_\alpha, \varphi + i\lambda] + \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}[P_\mu, \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}}] \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}[Q_\alpha, \varphi] - i\tfrac{3}{2}\rho_\alpha\,. \end{aligned} \tag{IV.26}$$

Finally one can check that eq.(IV.14) is consistent with the supersymmetry algebra (IV.8).

Q.E.D.

V. Summary and Discussion

In section II., we reconstructed 6 D $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet at off-shell and on-shell level respectively: At off-shell level, within a superfield formalism in an algebraic way, we showed that the existence of a two-form gauge field follows only implicitly as the exterior derivative of the three-form tensor vanishes. We also exhibited an explicit expression for the corresponding superfield. At on-shell level, we analysed the supersymmetry algebra when the supersymmetry transformation rule for the two-form gauge field is given explicitly. We proposed a notion for *gauge charges* carrying spacetime/spinor indices. Along with translations and super charges they form an infinite dimensional super algebra formally. This has to do with the fact that there exist infinite degrees of freedom for local gauge transformations.

In section III., we investigated the consequences of "switching off" the gauge charges by considering a 6 D off-shell toy model of a two-form superfield . We made two assumptions there. One is that the supersymmetry transformation of the lowest component field of the superfield, B_{AB}, is identical to the that of the two-form gauge field in on-shell $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet and the other is that the model is subject to the standard six-dimensional $(N,0)$ supersymmetry algebra which consists of P, Q only with an arbitrary natural number, N. With these two assumptions alone, we showed that the model is completely solvable at off-shell level and possesses a severely constrained kinematics in the sense that: (i) B_{AB}, ψ^i_α alone form a super multiplet without introducing any scalar field, (ii) The supersymmetry transformation rule for ψ^i is determined, (iii) The field strength of the two-form field is automatically self-dual, (iv) B_{AB} is at most linear in spacetime coordinates, x, and ψ^i is a spacetime independent constant field, (v) It is possible to determine how the coefficients in the expansion of each component field in x transform to another under supersymmetry transformations, i.e. these coefficients form a "rigid" representation of the supersymmetry algebra.

In section IV., similar analysis on 4 D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Maxwell theory was performed and we identified an infinite dimensional supersymmetry algebra with gauge charges carrying spacetime/spinor indices. We also analysed a 4 D toy model of a one-form superfield. We found the model is completely solvable at off-shell level too as (i) The super multiplet consists of $A_\mu, \psi^\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and a real scalar field φ, (ii) The supersymmetry transformation rules for all the component fields are determined, (iii) A_μ satisfies the conformal Killing equation so that A_μ is at most quadratic in x, (iv)$\psi^\alpha, \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are at most linear in x, and φ is a spacetime independent constant field, (v) The coefficients in the expansion of each component field in x form a "rigid" representation of the supersymmetry algebra.

Our study of the toy model signifies the importance of the gauge symmetry/charges in ordinary gauge theories and reveals the power of supersymmetry once more.

Appendix

Here we exhibit some useful identities on gamma matrices in six and four dimensions which were previously given in Ref. [19] with details.

A Gamma Matrices in Six-dimensions

With the Minkowskian metric $\eta^{AB} = \mathrm{diag}(+1, -1, -1, \cdots, -1)$, the 4×4 matrices, $\gamma^A, \tilde{\gamma}^A$ satisfy

$$\gamma^A \tilde{\gamma}^B + \gamma^B \tilde{\gamma}^A = 2\eta^{AB} \,, \tag{A1}$$

and hence[9]

$$\mathrm{tr}(\gamma^A \tilde{\gamma}^B) = 4\eta^{AB} \,, \tag{A2}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\gamma^A \tilde{\gamma}^B \gamma^C \tilde{\gamma}^D) = 4(\eta^{AB}\eta^{CD} - \eta^{AC}\eta^{BD} + \eta^{BC}\eta^{DA}) \,, \tag{A3}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\gamma^{[A} \tilde{\gamma}^B \gamma^{C]} \tilde{\gamma}_{[D} \gamma_E \tilde{\gamma}_{F]}) = 4\epsilon^{ABC}{}_{DEF} - 24\delta^{[A}_{D}\delta^{B}_{E}\delta^{C]}_{F} \,, \tag{A4}$$

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^{A\dagger} \gamma^0 = \gamma^A \,, \qquad\qquad \gamma^{A\dagger} = \tilde{\gamma}_A \,. \tag{A5}$$

In six-dimensions, without loss of generality, γ^A, $\tilde{\gamma}^A$ may be taken to be anti-symmetric

$$(\gamma^A)_{\alpha\beta} = -(\gamma^A)_{\beta\alpha} \,, \qquad\qquad (\tilde{\gamma}^A)^{\alpha\beta} = -(\tilde{\gamma}^A)^{\beta\alpha} \,, \tag{A6}$$

---

[9] We put $\epsilon^{012345} = 1$.

and hence $\{\gamma^A\}$ and $\{\tilde{\gamma}^A\}$ are separately bases of 4 × 4 anti-symmetric matrices so that

$$(\gamma^A)_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\gamma}_A)^{\gamma\delta} = 2(\delta_\alpha{}^\delta\delta_\beta{}^\gamma - \delta_\alpha{}^\gamma\delta_\beta{}^\delta)\,. \tag{A7}$$

Due to the identities

$$\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]} = -\tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ABC}{}_{DEF}\,\gamma^D\tilde{\gamma}^E\gamma^F\,, \qquad \tilde{\gamma}^{[A}\gamma^B\tilde{\gamma}^{C]} = \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ABC}{}_{DEF}\,\tilde{\gamma}^D\gamma^E\tilde{\gamma}^F\,, \tag{A8}$$

there are only 10 independent $\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^{[A}\gamma^B\tilde{\gamma}^{C]}$ separately and both of them form bases of 4 × 4 symmetric matrices with the completeness relation

$$(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^B\gamma^{C]})_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_B\tilde{\gamma}_{C]})^{\gamma\delta} = -24(\delta_\alpha{}^\gamma\delta_\beta{}^\delta + \delta_\alpha{}^\delta\delta_\beta{}^\gamma)\,. \tag{A9}$$

The coefficient on the right hand side may be determined by eq.(A4).

$\{\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}, 1\}$ also forms a basis of general 4 × 4 matrices with the completeness relation

$$-\tfrac{1}{8}(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]})_\alpha{}^\beta(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]})_\gamma{}^\delta + \tfrac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\delta_\gamma{}^\delta = \delta_\alpha{}^\delta\delta_\gamma{}^\beta\,. \tag{A10}$$

With this choice of gamma matrices we get

$$\gamma^A_{\alpha\beta} = \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\tilde{\gamma}^{A\gamma\delta}\,, \qquad \tilde{\gamma}^{A\alpha\beta} = \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\gamma^A_{\gamma\delta}\,, \tag{A11a}$$

$$\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}\gamma^C - (\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}\gamma^C)^t = 2\eta^{BC}\gamma^A - 2\eta^{CA}\gamma^B\,, \tag{A11b}$$

$$\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}\gamma^{C} + (\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}\gamma^{C})^{t} = 2\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B}\gamma^{C]}\,, \tag{A11c}$$

$$\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}\gamma_{[C}\tilde{\gamma}_{D}\gamma_{E]} - (\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]}\gamma_{[C}\tilde{\gamma}_{D}\gamma_{E]})^{t} = 2\epsilon^{AB}{}_{CDEF}\gamma^{F} - 12\delta^{A}{}_{[C}\delta^{B}{}_{D}\gamma_{E]}\,, \tag{A11d}$$

$$\gamma^{A}_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_{A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = 2\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{B}_{\beta\gamma} + 2\delta_{\beta}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{B}_{\gamma\alpha} + 2\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{B}_{\alpha\beta}\,, \tag{A11e}$$

$$(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma_{B\gamma\delta} = 2\delta^{\beta}{}_{\gamma}\gamma^{A}_{\alpha\delta} - 2\delta^{\beta}{}_{\delta}\gamma^{A}_{\alpha\gamma} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma^{A}_{\gamma\delta}\,, \tag{A11f}$$

$$(\gamma^{[A}\tilde{\gamma}^{B}\gamma^{C]})_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B]})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = 4(\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{C}_{\beta\gamma} + \delta_{\beta}{}^{\delta}\gamma^{C}_{\alpha\gamma})\,, \tag{A11g}$$

$$\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B}\gamma_{C]}\tilde{\gamma}^{D} = 3\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B}\delta_{C]}{}^{D} - \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{DEF}\gamma_{E}\tilde{\gamma}_{F}\,, \tag{A11h}$$

$$\gamma^{D}\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_{B}\tilde{\gamma}_{C]} = 3\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B}\delta_{C]}{}^{D} + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ABC}{}^{DEF}\gamma_{E}\tilde{\gamma}_{F}\,, \tag{A11i}$$

$$6\gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B}\delta_{C]}{}^{D} = \gamma_{[A}\tilde{\gamma}_{B}\gamma_{C]}\tilde{\gamma}^{D} + \gamma^{D}\tilde{\gamma}_{[A}\gamma_{B}\tilde{\gamma}_{C]}\,. \tag{A11j}$$

It is useful to note

$$\epsilon_{ABCDIJ}\epsilon^{EFGHIJ} = -48\delta_{A}^{[E}\delta_{B}^{F}\delta_{C}^{G}\delta_{D}^{H]}\,. \tag{A12}$$

B Sigma Matrices in Four-dimensions

The 2×2 matrices, $\sigma^\mu, \tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ satisfy

$$\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \tilde{\sigma}^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{B1}$$

and hence

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu) = \eta^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{B2a}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\sigma^\mu \tilde{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\lambda \tilde{\sigma}^\rho) = \eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\lambda\rho} + \eta^{\nu\lambda}\eta^{\rho\mu} - \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\nu\rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \,, \tag{B2b}$$

where we put $\epsilon_{0123} = -\epsilon^{0123} = 1$.

σ^μ and $\tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ separately form bases of 2×2 matrices with the completeness relation

$$\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{\sigma}_\mu^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = 2\delta_\alpha^{\ \beta} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\ \dot{\beta}} \,. \tag{B3}$$

The coefficient on the right hand side may be determined by eq.(B2a).

σ^μ and $\tilde{\sigma}^\mu$ are related by

$$\epsilon \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu t} \bar{\epsilon} = -\sigma^\mu \,. \tag{B4}$$

where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ are 2×2 anti-symmetric matrices, $\epsilon_{12} = \bar{\epsilon}_{12} = 1$ with inverses, $(\epsilon^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}$, $(\bar{\epsilon}^{-1})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$.

From eqs.(B3, B4) we get

$$\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\sigma_{\mu\beta\dot{\beta}} = 2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\,,$$

$$(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(\sigma_{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}_{\nu]})_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = 4(\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} - 2\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta})\,, \tag{B5}$$

$$(\sigma^{[\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu]})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}_{[\mu}\sigma_{\nu]})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} = 0\,.$$

It is useful to note

$$\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} - \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\delta} = \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon^{-1\beta\delta}\,. \tag{B6}$$

References

[1] S. Coleman and J. Mandula. All Possible Symmetries of the S Matrix. *Phys. Rev.*, 159: 1251, 1967.

[2] R. Haag, Jan T. Lopuszanski, M. Sohnius. All Possible Generators of Supersymetries of the S Matrix. *Nucl. Phys.*, B88:257, 1975.

[3] M. Sohnius. Introducing Supersymmetry. *Phys. Rep.*, 128: 39–204, 1985.

[4] J. Strathdee. Extended Poincaré Supersymmetry. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 2: 273, 1987.

[5] J. A. de Azcárraga, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. Izquierdo and P. K. Townsend. Topological Extensions of the Supersymmetry Algebra for Extended Objects. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 63: 2443, 1989.

[6] P. Townsend. P-brane Democracy. *PASCOS/Hopkins*, page 271, 1995. hep-th/9507048.

[7] I. Bars. Supersymmetry, p-brane Duality and Hidden Space and Time Dimensions. *Phys.Rev.*, D54: 5203, 1996. hep-th/9604139.

[8] S. Ferrara, M. Porrati. Central Extensions of Supersymmetry in Four and Three Dimensions. *Phys. Lett.*, B423: 255, 1998. hep-th/9711116.

[9] S. Ferrara, M. Porrati. AdS Superalgebras with Brane Charges. *Phys. Lett.*, B458: 43, 1999. hep-th/9903241.

[10] P. West. *Introduction to Supersymmetry and Supergravity.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.

[11] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and A. Van Proeyen. Superconformal Tensor Calculus and Matter Couplings in Six Dimensions. *Nucl.Phys.*, B264: 653, 1986.

[12] P. Claus, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen. M 5-brane and Superconformal $(0, 2)$ Tensor Multiplet in 6 Dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B518: 117, 1998.

[13] M. Sohnius. Soft Gauge Algebras. *Z. Phys. C*, 18: 229–237, 1983.

[14] P. Howe, G. Sierra and P. Townsend. Supersymmetry in Six Dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B221: 331, 1983.

[15] E. Bergshoeff. Superconformal Invariance and the Tensor Multiplet in Six Dimensions. *Superunification and Extra Dimensions, Turin, Italy. (Proceedings)*, page 126, 1985.

[16] I. L. Buchbinder and S. M. Kuzenko. *Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity or a Walk through Superspace.* Institute of Physics Publishing Ltd., 1995.

[17] A. Gorsky and M. Shifman. More on the Tensorial Central Charges in N=1 Supersymmetric Gauge Theories (BPS Wall Junctions and Strings). hep-th/9909015.

[18] P. Ginsparg. Applied Conformal Field Theory. *Champs. Cordes et Phénomènes Critiques (E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, Eds.)*, page 1, 1989.

[19] J-H Park. Superconformal Symmetry in Six-dimensions and Its Reduction to Four. *Nucl. Phys.*, B 539: 599, 1999. hep-th/9807186.